



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/848,725	05/04/2001	Chien-Jen Chen	ONETTA-67	8417

36532 7590 07/15/2003

G. VICTOR TREYZ
663 TOWN & COUNTRY VILLAGE
SUNNYVALE, CA 94086

EXAMINER

CUNNINGHAM, STEPHEN C

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3663

DATE MAILED: 07/15/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/848,725

Applicant(s)

CHEN ET AL.

Examiner

Stephen C. Cunningham

Art Unit

3663

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 May 2003.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 06 November 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____ 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ghera et al. ('922) (hereafter "Ghera") in view of Park et al. ('716) (hereafter "Park").

Regarding claim 1, Ghera teaches optical amplifier equipment that amplifies optical data signals in a fiber-optic communications link that has at least one span of transmission fiber for carrying the optical data signals, comprising: (1) a Raman pump that produces Raman pump light at first and second wavelengths to create Raman gain for the optical data signals in the span of transmission fiber, where the first wavelength is different from the second wavelength (Fig. 2, 120; column 7, lines 64-65 (notice "at pump wavelengths" where wavelengths is plural); (2) an optical monitor that measures a first as well as any other backscattered pumping light from the span of transmission fiber (See Fig. 2, 290; column 7, lines 1-35; column 8, lines 21-31; column 7, lines 64-65 (describing a measuring step for pump light "at pump wavelengths" (emphasis added)); (3) a control unit that uses the Raman pump and the optical monitor to perform OTDR

measurements on the transmission fiber (see *inter alia*, column 7); and (4) a pulsed pump wavelength to perform optical time domain reflectometry measurements (see, e.g. column 7, lines 6-19).

Ghera fails to teach pump light the first wavelength is modulated to measure the effects of adjusting the Raman gain produced by the Raman pump light at the first wavelength in the span of transmission fiber. Such is well known in the art to determine the gain of a Raman amplifier. Park teaches a Raman pump laser that is modulated which transfers the modulation to the signal wavelengths (Abstract). The modulation in the signal wavelength is used to determine the gain. It would have been obvious to modify the apparatus of Ghera by modulating pump amplitude to determine the amplifier gain.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ghera in view of Park as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Emori et al. (Elect. Lett. 34:22 (1998)) (hereafter "Emori") (cited by Applicant in Paper No. 2).

Regarding claim 2, Ghera does not teach that the pumping sources comprise a plurality of laser diodes. Such are well known in the art to be effective Raman pump sources. Emori teaches a plurality of laser diodes for pumping a Raman amplifier. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by Applicant to utilize laser diodes as the plurality of laser sources in the Ghera Raman amplifier because such are well known in the art to provide ample pump light to Raman amplifiers, and are easily controllable.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen C. Cunningham whose telephone number is 703-605-4275. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas G. Black can be reached on 703-305-8233. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-

Application/Control Number: 09/848,725

Page 5

Art Unit: 3663

872-9326 for regular communications and 703-872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

scc
July 10, 2003


THOMAS G. BLACK
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
GROUP 3600